

# IN THE CONSTITUTIONAL COURT

Case: \_\_\_\_\_  
815-11 SCA &  
07-2010 EQ JHB

In the matter between:

**ALIEN ON PALE BLUE DOT**

**Applicant**

**And**

**AFRIFORUM**

**First Respondent**

**TRANSVAAL AGRICULTURAL UNION (TAU)**

**Second Respondent**

**JULIUS MALEMA**

**Third Respondent**

**AFRICAN NATIONAL CONGRESS**

**Fourth Respondent**

**DESMOND TUTU**

**Fifth Respondent**

**NELSON MANDELA**

**Sixth Respondent**

**FW DE KLERK**

**Seventh Respondent**

**CRL RIGHTS COMMISSION<sup>1</sup>**

**Eighth Respondent**

**NORWEGIAN NOBEL COMMITTEE: CHAIR**

**Ninth Respondent**

**CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY: DIRECTOR**

**Tenth Respondent**

**DAVID PETRAEUS**

**Eleventh Respondent**

---

## NOTICE OF MOTION

---

Take notice that LARA JOHNSTONE, herein referred to as *Alien on Pale Blue Dot*, Member of the Radical Honesty culture and religion, (hereinafter called The Applicant) intends to make application to this Court for the following orders in terms of Rule 11, of the Rules of Court;- (1) Radical Honesty culture; (2) Review SCA

---

<sup>1</sup> Commission for the Promotion and Protection of the Rights of Cultural, Religious and Linguistic Communities

Afriforum v. Malema Mediation Agreement; (3) EcoFeminist Evidence Censored: TRC's-War is Peace-Fraud (A) TRC's Legal Tyranny 'Reconciliation' Definition; (B) TRC's Erroneous 'ANC' Just War Definition; (C) TRC's Anthropocentric Flat Earth Worldview; (D) TRC's Tragedy of Constitutional Commons Suicide Pact; (4) Credible Proactive Peace Plan requires confronting Peak NNR & Sustainable Security: Scarcity as Cause of Violent Conflict; (5) Alternatively; to order all South African's to prepare for SA's Race War in the impending Peak NNR Crisis of Conflict.

## **I: RADICAL HONESTY CULTURE**

[1] Permission to invoke<sup>2</sup> cultural law<sup>3</sup> in S. 15(3), 30, 31, and 18; to enable the Applicant to honour the duty and responsibility to uphold the principles upon which her Radical Honesty culture is based; and Psychological Integrity in Section 12<sup>4</sup>; the former which may require the application of choice of law rules.

## **II: REVIEW SCA AFRIFORUM V MALEMA MEDIATION AGREEMENT:**

[2] Setting aside the whole, or relevant parts of the Mediation Agreement order of the Supreme Court of Appeal, Bloemfontein under case number 815/2011 that was Entered into by and between: ANC, Mr. Malema, Afriforum and TAU-SA., as unconstitutionally vague and ambiguous, for failing to clearly clarify:

1. To whom, and to which cultures do the "the words - awudubula ibhunu and dubula amabhunu baya raypha - ("the words") constitute hate speech.."; and the relevant cultures particular definition of 'hate speech'?

---

<sup>2</sup> Ex parte Minister of Native Affairs: In re Yako v Beyi 1948 (1) SA 388 (A) at 397: Appellate Division held that neither common nor customary law was prima facie applicable. Courts had to consider all the circumstances of a case, and, without any preconceived view about the applicability of one or other legal system, select the appropriate law on the basis of its inquiry.

<sup>3</sup> SALC, Sept 1999: Report on Conflicts of law: P.22: '1.58. The Constitution now provides an entitlement for invoking customary law in legal suits. Because ss 30 and 31 specifically guarantee an individual and a group's right to pursue a culture of choice, it could be argued that application of customary law has become a constitutional right. Previously, the state had assumed complete discretion in deciding whether and to what extent customary law should be recognized, an attitude typical of colonial thinking, for Africans were subject to whatever policies the conquering state chose to impose on them. Now, however, the state has a duty to allow people to participate in the culture they choose, implicit in this duty is a responsibility to uphold the institutions on which that culture is based.'

<sup>4</sup> 12. Freedom and security of the person: (2) Everyone has the right to bodily and psychological integrity..

2. Which cultures require the interdiction and restraint of first and second respondents from singing “Dubula lbhunu at any public or private meeting held by or conducted by them ("the song")”?
3. Which cultures determine the words and song to constitute hate speech, according to which culture’s definition of hate speech?
4. Which culture’s ‘morality of society’ dictates that persons should refrain from using the words, and singing the words; according to which cultures definition of ‘morality of society’?
5. In the interests of promoting which culture’s definition of ‘reconciliation’, and that cultures particular definition of ‘reconciliation’;
6. Considering that the parties all participated in a conspiracy of silence and censorship to refuse any dialogue into the respective cultural heritage of members of the Radical Honesty culture, and displayed zero commitment to any formal dialogue with leaders of the Radical Honesty culture, and refused dialogue on all EcoFeminist issues, of concern to EcoFeminists and Sustainable Security Environmentalists, whom are the parties referring to as ‘other role players’ with whom they are committed to ‘continued formal dialogue’, to ‘promote understanding of their respective cultural heritages’? Only patriarchal consumption war and breeding war combatants need apply? Individuals with Pay the Price for Peace Credibility are not welcome, not considered worthy of being heard?
7. How and why this agreement order should be applicable to other cultures, whom were excluded from negotiations and whose definitions of ‘reconciliation’ ‘hate speech’ and ‘morality of society’ were censored and/or ignored as irrelevant?
8. That the members of Radical Honesty culture are not bound by this Mediation Agreement order, considering that the parties refused to address the Radical Honesty culture’s evidence and arguments.

[3] Furthermore, that the Agreement is in violation of Section 9<sup>5</sup> of the Bill of Rights; in that it discriminates against women and nature, in that it deliberately censors and discriminates against the Radical Honesty EcoFeminist TRC Fraud evidence submitted into the record by Applicant as approved by Judge Colin Lamont:

1. In *Afri-Forum and Another v Malema and Others* (20968/2010) [2011] ZAEQC 2; 2011 (6) SA 240 (EqC); [2011] 4 All SA 293 (EqC); 2011 (12) BCLR 1289 (EqC) (12 September 2011)<sup>6</sup>; Judge Lamont confirmed that: “[48] Lara Johnstone, the sole member of an entity known as the Radical Honesty Culture and Religion delivered a number of documents by electronic transmission. I tabled the documents at the hearing and they form part of the record.”

[4] Specifically the SCA Mediation Agreement discriminates<sup>7</sup>, in terms of censoring<sup>8</sup> and excluding for impartial investigation the EcoFeminist<sup>9</sup> Sustainable Democracy Transparency TruthSeeking<sup>10</sup> TRC Fraud<sup>11</sup> Problem Solving<sup>12</sup> arguments submitted into the court record by Applicant with consent of Judge Colin Lamont. The Mediation Agreement:

---

<sup>5</sup> 9. Equality: (1) Everyone is equal before the law and has the right to equal protection and benefit of the law. (2) Equality includes the full and equal enjoyment of all rights and freedoms. To promote the achievement of equality, legislative and other measures designed to protect or advance persons, or categories of persons, disadvantaged by unfair discrimination may be taken. (3) The state may not unfairly discriminate directly or indirectly against anyone on one or more grounds, including race, gender, sex, pregnancy, marital status, ethnic or social origin, colour, sexual orientation, age, disability, religion, conscience, belief, culture, language and birth. (4) \*1 No person may unfairly discriminate directly or indirectly against anyone on one or more grounds in terms of subsection (3). National legislation must be enacted to prevent or prohibit unfair discrimination. (5) Discrimination on one or more of the grounds listed in subsection (3) is unfair unless it is established that the discrimination is fair.

<sup>6</sup> <http://www.saflii.org/za/cases/ZAEQC/2011/2.html>

<sup>7</sup> MASCULINE INSECURITY: LJ Founding Affidavit: Para: 41-58, 67, 76-83, 84; LJ Heads of Argument: Para: 63-114, 153-165, 166-181, 189-190, 201, 211

<sup>8</sup> CENSORSHIP: LJ Founding Affidavit: Para: 7, 8, 9, 50, 51, 52, 53; LJ Heads of Argument: Para: 22, 61-62, 95, 180, 189-190, 199

<sup>9</sup> EcoFeminis: LJ Founding Affidavit: Para: 16, 20, 26, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 49, 79, 81; LJ Heads of Argument: Para: 6-9, 10, 19, 23-29, 30, 39-62, 202-206

<sup>10</sup> Radical Honesty: LJ Founding Affidavit: Para: 1, 15, 18, 20, 22, 24, 25, 26, 39, 49, 54, 67, 69, 71, 77, 81; LJ Heads of Argument: Para: 4, 5, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 16-18, 19, 31-33, 34, 35, 36-38

<sup>11</sup> TRC Fraud: LJ Founding Affidavit: Para: 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 67, 72, 73, 74, 75, 76-83, 84; LJ Heads of Argument: Para: 2, 9, 14-15, 31-33, 35, 36-38, 63-114, 115-152, 153-165, 189-190, 191-199

<sup>12</sup> Problem Solving: LJ Founding Affidavit: Para: 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 70, 75, 86; LJ Heads of Argument: Para: 6-9, 10, 39-62, 63-114, 115-152, 153-165, 189-190, 191-199, 200-201, 202-206, 207-209, 211-212

1. Ignores the EcoFeminist Sustainable Democracy Transparency TruthSeeking Problem Solving evidence and arguments exposing how Afriforum, Malema, TAU-SA and the ANC' refuse to impartially examine SA's Truth and Reconciliation Fraud – (a) no reconciliation definition, (b) erroneous ANC 'just war' definition', (c) Anthropocentric Flat Earth Infinite Resources Worldview -- because all participating Patriarchal parties wish to cover up and profit from SA's Truth and Reconciliation Breeding and Consumption War Fraud.
2. Provides no justifications for how or why its discrimination against the Ecofeminist TRC Fraud evidence and arguments presented, of its Patriarchal discrimination against women and nature, is 'fair'.
3. Furthermore, that the Mediation Agreement is a consequence of masculine insecurity lack of psychological integrity to seek the truth by transparently confronting Applicants allegations and evidence of South Africa's Patriarchal TRC War is Peace Whores Legal Tyranny.

### **III: ECOFEMINIST EVIDENCE CENSORED: TRC'S-WAR IS PEACE-FRAUD:**

#### **III A: TRC'S LEGAL TYRANNY RECONCILIATION DEFINITION:**

[5] Declaring the Truth and Reconciliation Report's failure to provide clear and concise cultural/religious definition of 'reconciliation' -- i.e. whether Lutheran Christian, African, Boer Afrikaner, Kairos Black Liberation Theology, Frantz Fanon Liberation, Radical Honesty, etc -- to be (a) a failure of the requirements of the Promotion of National Unity and Reconciliation Act, 34 of 1995, Section 2 (3)(1) "The objectives of the Commission shall be to promote national unity and reconciliation in a spirit of understanding which transcends the conflicts and divisions of the past.. "; and (b) an example of Archbishop Tutu's description of how vague definitions (in this case not even a vague definition) enable legal tyranny. For example:

1. War is Peace Whores consider 'reconciliation' to be a tool of pretend problem solving manipulation, which can be used as a great PR publicity stunt to colonize ignorant minds into blind subservient belief in the 'reconciliation'

moral supremacy narrative. Reconciliation is achieved for as long as the subservient followers are in a state of moral supremacy cognitive dissonance, where objective or subjective enquiry is suspended, but if applied would reveal their belief in their state of moral supremacy reconciliation to be false, but lack the integrity and courage to admit they are addicted to being 'morally superior', due to censoring all evidence exposing their two faced hypocrisy.

2. Lutheran Christians consider 'reconciliation' to be a voluntary inner spiritual process, whereby reconciliation is achieved via sincere dialogue and a change of heart and perspective.
3. Kairos / Black Liberation Theology Christians consider 'reconciliation' to be a socialist economic process, whereby reconciliation is only achieved once socialism is forcefully implemented.
4. Frantz Fanon Liberation Theologists consider 'reconciliation' to be a physically violent process of 'liberating' the 'colonized mind' by violence 'on the rotting corpse of the settler'. Reconciliation occurs once all the settlers corpses are dead and rotting.
5. Radical Honesty is a non-violent Fanon process, where reconciliation is a psychological and sensate physical experience of releasing of anger and resentments. It is the liberation of both the settler and the colonized minds, by release of both of their suppressed violence, not physically, but verbally: face to face, through expressions of their resentments and appreciations, until all suppressed sensate anger is released. Radical Honesty forgiveness occurs when two former enemies sit across from each other, and have verbally liberated their pent up sensate anger and rage, the body is in a state of released sensate tension, similar to the emotions released in a sexual orgasm, irrespective of however long it takes. Reconciliation occurs when the fragile ego mind is no longer colonized by the suppressed anger in the body.

[6] To amend the Truth and Reconciliation Report's failure to provide clear and concise cultural/religious definition of 'reconciliation'; South Africa's 'Truth and Reconciliation' Nobel Peace Prize Laureate's be required to provide the court with their clear and concise cultural/religious definitions for 'reconciliation', and the CRL

Commission be required to consult all South Africa's cultures and religions, and to provide the court with the definitions for 'reconciliation' of all of South Africa's cultures and religions.

### **III B: TRC'S ERRONEOUS 'ANC' JUST WAR DEFINITION:**

[7] Declaring the Truth and Reconciliation Report's endorsement of the ANC's alleged 'Just War' against Apartheid to be an erroneous conclusion in that it fails to consider that the just war tradition—a set of mutually agreed rules of combat—is only relevant between two culturally similar enemies<sup>13</sup>; which is not the case between Boers and Africans, since none of the African or liberal ANC leaders believed that Africans and Boers were culturally similar in terms of their cultural breeding values, in that Boers breeding values are: K-selected reproductive strategy: a small number of offspring and invest heavily in each; and Africans 'breeding' cultural values are: r-selected reproductive strategy: large number of offspring with minimal investments in offspring;

[8] Alternatively, if the TRC Respondents assert that Africans and Boers are 'culturally similar enemies'; declaring the Truth and Reconciliation Report's endorsement of the ANC's alleged 'Just War' against Apartheid to be an erroneous conclusion in that -- If (1) Boers 'breeding' cultural values are: K-selected reproductive strategy: a small number of offspring and invest heavily in each; whereas African 'breeding' cultural values are: r-selected reproductive strategy: large number of offspring with minimal investments in offspring; (2) it was abundantly clear that the major fundamental motive for perpetuating Apartheid was fear of the 'swart gevaar', i.e. the political consequences of Africans breeding war: r-selected reproductive cultural behaviour; (3) Apartheid Officials and citizens 'swart gevaar' population policy fears<sup>14</sup> are legally and ecologically justifiable (ecological

---

<sup>13</sup> Moseley, Alexander (2009, 10 February): Just War Theory; Internet Encyclopedia of Philosophy <http://www.iep.utm.edu/justwar/>

<sup>14</sup> Verwoerd described the motives, practices and policies for apartheid, aka separate development, or Harmonious Multi-Community Development (Dr. Eiselen, W.W.M., "Harmonious Multi-Community Development", in Optima, Mar. 1959, p.1. Dr. Eiselen was at that time Secretary for Bantu Administration and Development.), and Live and Let Live (Address by the South African Prime Minister, Dr. H.F. Verwoerd, address to the SA Club, London, in Fact Paper 91, Apr. 1961, p.14) in depth, in the submissions to the ICJ on S.W. Africa, about the "superiority of numbers of the Natives"

competitive exclusion principle); (4) the ANC and Anti-Apartheid movement were culturally honourably concerned with Just War practices; (5) the ANC should have – according to Just War mutually agreed rules of combat -- launched a non-violent cultural and political campaign to stop their African ‘swart gevaar’ breeding-war population explosion<sup>15</sup>, to adopt the K-selected reproductive strategy, to demonstrate their honourable Just War Just Cause Intentions to ‘swart gevaar’ Apartheid Officials and citizens; prior to the ANC’s M-Plan declaration of War against Apartheid -- and a failure of the requirements of the Promotion of National Unity and Reconciliation Act, 34 of 1995, Chapter 2:

1. Section 3(1)(a) “.. establishing as complete a picture as possible of the causes, nature and extent of the gross violations of human rights ... including the antecedents, circumstances, factors and context of such violations, as well as the perspectives of the victims and the motives and perspectives of the persons responsible for the commission of the violations, by conducting investigations and holding hearings; and
2. Section 4(a)(i)(ii)(iv)(v): “The Commission shall (a) facilitate, and where necessary initiate or coordinate, inquiries into- (i) gross violations of human rights, including violations which were part of a systematic pattern of abuse; (ii) the nature, causes and extent of gross violations of human rights, including the antecedents, circumstances, factors, context, motives and

---

(1964-01-10: ICJ: Ibid ([www.icj-cij.org](http://www.icj-cij.org)): Counter-Memorial filed by Gov. of the Rep. of S. Africa (Books I-IV), p.463).

<sup>15</sup> SAIRR Surveys, repeatedly document Apartheid authorities concerns with rapid black population growth as causal factors for socio-economic and political realities:

In the 1989 SAIRR Race Relations Report, we are informed that the Chairman of the Council for Population Development, Professor JP de Lange, claimed that population growth was South Africa’s “ticking time bomb”, and South Africa within two decades South Africa would find itself in a dilemma where its resources and socio-economic capabilities would be insufficient for its population, which would give rise to total social disintegration, unemployment, poverty, and misery which would become unmanageable, even in the best of constitutional dispensations. He urgently urged a birth rate of 2.1 or less children per woman per year. The Population Development Program recognized that a direct relationship existed between standard of living, an effective family planning and population growth. In a 1992/93 Race Relations Survey by the South African Institute of Race Relations (SAIRR), we are told that the high population growth is the cause of growth in poverty, unemployment and squatter camps, and most of the serious problems in South Africa; Population pressures are destroying the environment; the IFP and FRD call for ethics of 2 children per family as urgent population control priority; Population Growth outstrips Economic Growth for many years, and blacks avoid participation in family planning programs.

- Cooper C, et.al, Race Relations Survey 1989/90, (Jhb: SAIRR) 1990. [www.scribd.com/doc/33820505](http://www.scribd.com/doc/33820505)

- Cooper, C et. al., Race Relations Survey 1992/93, (Jhb: SAIRR) 1993. [PDF: [www.scribd.com/doc/33820596](http://www.scribd.com/doc/33820596)

perspectives which led to such violations; ... (iv) the question whether such violations were the result of deliberate planning on the part of the State or a former state or any of their organs, or of any political organisation, liberation movement or other group or individual; and (v) accountability, political or otherwise, for any such violation.. ”

[9] To amend the Truth and Reconciliation Report’s erroneous endorsement of the ANC’s alleged ‘Just War’ against Apartheid and allegation of the ‘Crime of Apartheid, by ordering that:

1. In terms of Military Honour: Rules of Just War; there was no ‘crime of apartheid’; objectively speaking both Apartheid and the Anti-Apartheid struggle were – subjectively speaking, in terms of their different respective Boer and African cultural worldviews – just wars:
2. Put differently, the TRC, Anti-Apartheid movement and Apartheid supporters should “accept that, irrespective of the methods used, both sides performed their duties bona fide, in what they perceived to be service to their respective political masters,”<sup>16</sup> and that “no single side in the conflict of the past has a monopoly of virtue or should bear responsibility for all the abuses that occurred”<sup>17</sup>.

### **III C: TRC’S ANTHROPOCENTRIC FLAT EARTH WORLDVIEW:**

[10] Declaring the Truth and Reconciliation Report’s failure to investigate demographic youth bulge<sup>18</sup> and ‘population production’ breeding war<sup>19</sup> acts of war as contributory factors to Apartheid violence, to be a failure of the requirements of the

---

<sup>16</sup> Submission to the TRC, by General M.A. de Malan [ [www.scribd.com/doc/34462172](http://www.scribd.com/doc/34462172)]

<sup>17</sup> Submission to the TRC by Mr. F.W de Klerk, National Party [ [www.scribd.com/doc/34462184](http://www.scribd.com/doc/34462184)]

<sup>18</sup> Demographics & Violence: Youth Bulges: Numerous reports provide details how population age structures have significant impacts on a countries stability, governance, economic development and social well-being. Put differently, countries with large populations of idle young men, known as youth bulges, account for 70 – 90 percent of all civil conflicts. Additionally a wealth of historical studies indicates that cycles of rebellion and military campaigns in the early modern and modern world tended to coincide with periods when young adults comprised an unusually large proportion of the population. Youth Bulge Reports: (1) The Shape of Things to Come: Why Age Structure Matters to a Safer More Equitable World, by Population Action International; (2) YouthQuake: Population, fertility and environment in the 21st Century, by Optimum Population Trust.

<sup>19</sup> “We must all understand that the most potent weapons of war are the penis and the womb. Therefore, if you cannot convince a group to control its population by discussion, debate, intelligent analysis etc., you must consider their action in using the penis and the womb to increase population an act of war.” - Former Municipal Court Judge Jason G. Brent

Promotion of National Unity and Reconciliation Act, 34 of 1995, Chapter 2: Section 3(1)(a) and Section 4(a)(i)(ii)(iv)(v).

### **III D: TRC'S TRAGEDY OF CONSTITUTIONAL COMMONS SUICIDE PACT:**

[11] Declaring the Truth and Reconciliation Report's Tragedy of the Commons failure to include Sustainable Security recommendations for South Africans to abide by the carrying capacity laws of nature in terms of procreation and consumption, the absence of which, contribute to local, regional or national resource scarcity, which contributes to violence and resource wars, to be a failure of the requirements of the Promotion of National Unity and Reconciliation Act, 34 of 1995, Chapter 2: Section 3(1) (d) "compiling a report providing as comprehensive an account as possible of the activities and findings of the Commission contemplated in paragraphs (a), (b) and (c), and which contains recommendations of measures to prevent the future violations of human rights".

### **IV: CREDIBLE PROACTIVE PEACE PLAN REQUIRES CONFRONTING PEAK NNR & SUSTAINABLE SECURITY: SCARCITY AS CAUSE OF VIOLENT CONFLICT:**

[12] Declaring the Norwegian Nobel Committee's 'Peace' paradigm to be lacking in credibility, for any nation whose leaders are sincerely committed to implementing peaceful coexistent relations between races, cultures and religions; in terms of its failure to interpret its mandate to "work for fraternity between nations, for the abolition or reduction of standing armies and for the holding and promotion of peace congresses," to include consideration of the role of overpopulation and overconsumption as root cause factors of resource scarcity pushing society to conflict and war, where surplus populations are used as standing armies, and those profiteering from overconsumption use their profits to promote pretend peace congresses and pretend Nobel Peace Prizes, awarding War is Peace Whore Prizes to perpetuate the 'Control of Reproduction' Human Farming War Economy Racket paradigm.

[13] Declaring that in our Post Peak NNR world, Sustainable Security requires seriously confronting Scarcity as a Cause of Violent Conflict, and to recommend that if the South African Government and its 'Peace Leaders' are sincerely committed to implementing peaceful coexistent relations between races, cultures and religions; the SAG should support the United States Government's Leadership to implement an Internationally recognized 'Pay the Price for Peace' Sincere Peacenik Politico-Legal Status, granted to any individual who is willing to sign the Maria Bochkareva One Child Per Family Oath, submitted to the Intelligence Agency of their choice (copy provided to either the CIA or the Kremlin), wherein they confirm that they:

1. have watched Ted Koppel's Nightline: **CIA & Pentagon on Overpopulation and Resources Wars**<sup>20</sup> videos; Dr. Jack Alpert's very simple explanation video: **Human Predicament: Better Common Sense Required**<sup>21</sup> and **Rapid Population Decline or Civilization Collapse**<sup>22</sup>;
2. declare that they are a sincere peacenik, who is willing to pay the price of peace, by addressing the root causes of conflict, and helping to move the humans on Planet Earth onto a procreation trend towards peace;
3. are a leaver, who is willing to pay the one child, or less, per family price of peace; who understands that if they violate their Leaver Peacenik One Child Oath, they thereby authorize the Central Intelligence Agency (or any alternative intelligence agency of their choice, copies provided to both the CIA and Kremlin) to remove them and their children from the Leaver genepool, by assassination;
4. if Pfc Bradley Manning is willing to take the Leaver Peacenik One Child Oath, that the Director General of the Central Intelligence Agency, make an official request for all charges against Pfc. Manning to be withdrawn;

---

<sup>20</sup> [sqswans.weebly.com/overpopulation-means-murder.html](http://sqswans.weebly.com/overpopulation-means-murder.html)

<sup>21</sup> [sqswans.weebly.com/human-predicament.html](http://sqswans.weebly.com/human-predicament.html)

<sup>22</sup> [sqswans.weebly.com/rapid-population-decline.html](http://sqswans.weebly.com/rapid-population-decline.html)

5. that the Central Intelligence Agency's Kent Center<sup>23</sup> establish a fund for contributions in honour of Pfc Manning, to establish a bi-annual 'Maria Bochkareva Leaver Peacenik Honor Medal', to be awarded for educating of the role of overpopulation and overconsumption as factors contributing to resource scarcity pushing society to conflict and war.

[14] Declaring that a Credible Proactive Peace Plan for South Africa requires (a) confronting geopolitical reality of Peak Non-Renewable Resources (NNR) and implementing (b) Sustainable Environmental Security plan in accordance with the Scarcity as cause of Violent Conflict principle, by (c) Determining the answers to the questions:

1. If Peace and conflict are defined not as descriptions of behaviour between nations, but as trends describing social conditions. Put differently: Conflict is not defined as the violence between neighbours and nations, but as the unwanted intrusion of one person's existence and consumption behaviour upon another person.
2. There are two kinds of conflict: Direct: he took my car, he enslaved me, he beat me, he raped me, he killed me; and Indirect. Indirect intrusions are the by-product of other people's behaviour. 'All the trees on our island were consumed by our grandparents,' is an indirect intrusion of a past generation on a present one. 'The rich people raised the price of gasoline and we can't afford it,' and 'The government is offering people welfare to breed more children' are current economic and demographic intrusions by one present group on another present group.
3. System conflict is the sum of intrusions experienced by each constituent, summed over all the constituents. A measure of the existing global conflict is the sum of six billion sets of intrusions. A measure of South Africa's conflict is the sum of 50 million sets of intrusions.
4. Using this definition of conflict, to establish whether South Africa's socio-economic and political system is moving towards peace or towards conflict; based upon the questions:

---

<sup>23</sup> [www.cia.gov/library/kent-center-occasional-papers/index.html](http://www.cia.gov/library/kent-center-occasional-papers/index.html)

- A. How many children per family leads to peace; or conversely how many children per family, contributes to greater resource scarcity, and exponential increase in conflict, i.e. an individuals' 'breeding war combatant' status? [According to the research of Dr. Jack Alpert<sup>24</sup>, the answer is one child per family]
- B. How much consumption relative to the nation's footprint carrying capacity leads to peace; or conversely how much consumption relative to the nations bio-capacity per person, contributes to greater resource scarcity, and exponential increase in conflict, i.e. an individuals 'consumption combatant status'?

**ALTERNATIVE: IF SA'S TRC FRAUD FRAGILE EGO'S ARE MORE IMPORTANT THAN CONFRONTING THE 'SCARCITY AS CAUSE OF VIOLENT CONFLICT' FACTOR; ALL SA'S SHOULD PREPARE THEMSELVES FOR THE IMPENDING RACE AND CLASS WAR CONSEQUENCES OF THE PEAK NNR CRISIS OF CONFLICT:**

[15] Alternatively, the Court should decline to hear the application if it is of the belief that: (1) citizens from the Radical Honesty culture are less worthy of an impartial hearing before a court of law, than Africans were under Apartheid; and (2) Ambiguous terminology in SCA Afriforum v. Malema Mediation Agreement should be upheld in support of legal tyranny; and (3) EcoFeminist's TRC's-War is Peace-Fraud evidence should be censored to protect the fragile ego's of Nelson Mandela, Desmond Tutu et al; since the court fully endorses the TRC's (A) Legal Tyranny 'Reconciliation' Definition; (B) Erroneous 'ANC' Just War Definition; (C) Anthropocentric Flat Earth Worldview; and (D) Tragedy of South Africa's Constitutional Commons Suicide Pact; as well as the Norwegian Nobel Committee's Flat Earth Resources are Infinite 'War is Peace' worldview, and ignoring 'Peace Leaders' failure to implement a credible Proactive Peace Plan for South Africa that confronts the geopolitical reality of Peak Non-Renewable Resources (NNR) and implements a Sustainable Environmental Security plan in accordance with the Scarcity as cause of Violent Conflict factor.

---

<sup>24</sup> <http://sqswans.weebly.com/human-predicament.html>

TAKE NOTICE FURTHER that the founding affidavit of Lara Johnstone, Member of the Radical Honesty Culture and Religion, filed herewith will be used in support of this application.

TAKE NOTICE FURTHER that the Applicant is (a) indigent, and (b) not aware of any public legal aid authority, with the intellectual, cultural, political, ideological, and/or legal cognitive capability to apply their minds to make an impartial enquiry into intellectual perspectives held by culture's, intellectual schools of thought and religions other than their own (i.e. such as the Radical Honesty culture); however if such public interest legal authority does exist, Applicant has no objection to accepting their public interest litigation support as assistance of counsel. In the absence thereof, Applicant shall be representing herself and her culture/religion (Radical Honesty), as an effective In Forma Pauperis Pro Se / Propria Persona / Litigant in Person Applicant.

TAKE NOTICE FURTHER that 16 Taaibos Ave, Heatherpark, George, 6539, and/or Email: habeusmentem@mweb.co.za, is appointed as the address/email address at which the applicants will accept notice and service of all process in these proceedings.

**TAKE NOTICE FURTHER** that in accordance of Rule 11<sup>25</sup> of the Rules of Court:

1. Any person opposing the granting of an order sought in the notice of motion shall-
  - A. within the time stated in the said notice (10 court days), notify the applicant and the Registrar in writing of his or her intention to oppose the application and shall in such notice – unless indigent and/or representing themselves Pro Se -- appoint an address within 25 kilometres of the office of the Registrar at which he or she will accept notice and service of all documents in the proceedings.
  - B. within 15 days of notifying the applicant of his or her intention to oppose the application lodge his or her answering affidavit, if any,

---

<sup>25</sup> <http://www.constitutionalcourt.org.za/site/thecourt/rulesofthecourt.htm#11>

together with any relevant documents, which may include supporting affidavits.

2. The applicant may lodge a replying affidavit within 10 days of the service upon him or her of the affidavit and documents referred to in paragraph (a) (ii).
  - A. Where no notice of opposition is given or where no answering affidavit in terms of paragraph (a) (ii) is lodged within the time referred to in paragraph (a) (ii), the Registrar shall within five days of the expiry thereof place the application before the Chief Justice.
  - B. Where an answering affidavit is lodged, the Registrar shall place the application before the Chief Justice within five days of the lodging of the replying affidavit.
3. The Chief Justice may, when giving directions under subrule (4)<sup>26</sup>, permit the lodging of further affidavits.
4. When an application is placed before the Chief Justice in terms of subrule (3) (c)<sup>27</sup>, he or she shall give directions as to how the application shall be dealt with and, in particular, as to whether it shall be set down for hearing or whether it shall be dealt with on the basis of written argument or summarily on the basis of the information contained in the affidavits.

The registrar is requested to kindly place the matter before the Concourt Justices, for their appropriate consideration and response, in terms of Rule 11.

Dated at George, on this the 23 day of November 2012.



Lara Johnstone, Pro Se  
P O Box 5042  
George East, 6539

---

<sup>26</sup> <http://www.constitutionalcourt.org.za/site/thecourt/rulesofthecourt.htm#11>

<sup>27</sup> <http://www.constitutionalcourt.org.za/site/thecourt/rulesofthecourt.htm#11>

South Africa

T: +27-44 870 7239 | C: +27-71 170 1954

Email: habeusmentem@mweb.co.za

## **CONCOURT REGISTRAR**

### **Registrar of the Constitutional Court:**

1 Hospital Street, Braamfontein,  
Johannesburg

Tel: (011) 359-7400 || Fax: (011) 339-5098

Email: registrar@concourt.org.za

### **Registrar: High Court: South Gauteng:**

Private Bag X7  
Johannesburg, 2000

Tel: (011) 332 8278 | Fax: (011) 332 8214

Registrar: Alida van Deventer  
(AVanDeventer@justice.gov.za)

### **Registrar: Supreme Court of Appeal:**

Cnr Elizabeth & President Brand Streets,  
Bloemfontein, Free State, 9301

PO Box 258, Bloemfontein, Free State, 9300

Tel: +27 51 412 7400, Fax: +27 51 412 7449

Email: Pule Zachia (PZachia@justice.gov.za);  
Aletta Street (AStreet@justice.gov.za)

## **RESPONDENTS:**

### **AFRIFORUM**

#### **(Representatives)**

Mr. Kallie Kriel & Ms. Alana Bailey  
Posbus 17216, Lyttelton, 0140

Tel: 012 664 8923 | Fax: 012 664 1281

Kallie Kriel: (kallie@solidariteit.co.za)

Alana Bailey: (alana@solidariteit.co.za)

Ernsts Roets: (ernst@afriforum.co.za)

### **TVL AGRICULTURAL UNION (TAU)**

#### **(Representative)**

CEO: Mr. Bennie van Zyl

Posbus 912-51, Silverton, 0127

Tel. (012) 804-8031 | Faks. (012) 804-2014

CEO: Mnr. Bennie van Zyl (hb@tlu.co.za)

Comm: Henk van de Graaf (koms@tlu.co.za)

#### **(Attorneys)**

Mr. Willie Spies

Hurter Spies Attorneys

Eendrachtstraat 1, Kloofsig, Centurion

Tel: 012-664-0708 | Fax: 012-644-1997

Willie Spies: (admin@hurterspies.co.za)

#### **(Attorneys)**

Mr. Riaan van der Walt

P O Box 1935, Brooklyn Square, 0075

Tel: (012) 460 1915/6 | Fax: (012) 460 1919

Riaan van der Walt (riaan@louwalt.co.za),

(mail@attorneys.co.za)

#### **(Advocate)**

Adv. Martin Brassey, SC

Private Bag x41, Benmore, 2010

Tel: 011-290 4103 | Cel: 082-789 3971

Adv Brassey (brassey@counsel.co.za)

#### **(Advocate)**

Adv. Roelof du Plessis SC

60, Brooklyn Advocates Chambers

Tel: 012 452 8760 | Fax: 012 452 8821

Adv. Roelof du Plessis (advr@mweb.co.za)

### **JULIUS MALEMA & ANC**

#### **(Attorneys)**

Mr. Mkhabela Huntley

Adekeye Inc.

Tel: (011) 786 7303

Ref: Mr. Makebela or Byron Morris

Byron Morris (morris@mhalaw.co.za)

#### **(Advocate)**

Vincent Maleka SC

Doma Nokwe Group

Tel: (011) 282 3700 / 3772

Fax: (011) 884 6453 | Cell: (083) 260 0790

Adv. Maleka (ivmaleka@mweb.co.za)

### **DESMOND TUTU**

Desmond Tutu (tutudm@mweb.co.za)

c/o: Nomfundo Walaza

### **NELSON MANDELA**

c/o Chairperson: Jakes Gerwel

Nelson Mandela Foundation

Desmond Tutu Peace Center  
42 Hans Strijdom Ave, Capetown, 8001  
Tel: +27(21) 443 6760 | Fax: +27(21) 443 6768  
Email: info@tutu.org; Tutu - Assist: Vivian  
(vivian@tutu.org.za); Nomfundo Walaza - Tutu  
Ctr (toni@tutu.org)

107 Central Street, Houghton, 2198  
T: +27(11) 547 5600 | F: +27(11) 728 1111  
Email: nmf@nelsonmandela.org  
NMF (nmf@nelsonmandela.org); Verne  
Sheldon Harris (Verne@nelsonmandela.org)

#### **FW DE KLERK**

c/o D. Steward & N de Havilland  
FW de Klerk Foundation  
P O Box 15785, Panorama, 7506  
T: +27(21) 930 3622 | F: +27(21) 930 3898  
Dave Steward - FWF (dave@fwdeklerk.org);  
Adv. Nikki de Havilland (nikki@cfcr.org.za);  
Piet Le Roux - FWF (piet@fwdeklerk.org)

#### **CRL RIGHTS COMMISSION**

CEO: Adv. Pheagane Moreroa  
Private Bag X 90 000  
Houghton, 2041  
Tel: (011) 537 7600 | Fax: (011) 880 3495  
Chairperson@crlcommission.org.za,  
ceo@crlcommission.org.za,  
info@crlcommission.org.za

#### **NORWEGIAN NOBEL COMMITTEE: CHAIR**

Chair: Thorbjørn Jagland  
Norwegian Nobel Committee  
Henrik Ibsens gate 51  
NO-0255 Oslo, Norway  
Tel: (47) 22 12 93 00 | Fax: (47) 94 76 11 17  
postmaster@nobel.no

Amb. Kari Maren Bjørnsgaard  
Norwegian Embassy in Pretoria  
P.O. Box 11612  
0181 Brooklyn, South Africa  
Tel: +27 12 364 3700 | Fax: +27 12 342 6099  
emb.pretoria@mfa.no, embctn@telkomsa.net

#### **CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY: DIRECTOR**

Lisa Monaco  
Asst Att. General for National Security  
National Security Division  
Department of Justice  
950 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20530  
Tel: (202) 514-2007 | Fax: (202) 514-5331  
nsd.public@usdoj.gov

Ambassador Donald Gips  
U.S. Embassy in Pretoria  
PO Box 9536, Pretoria 0001  
877 Pretorius St, Arcadia, Pretoria  
Tel: (27-12) 431-4000  
Fax: (27-12) 342-2299  
embassypretoria@state.gov

#### **DAVID PETRAEUS**

Robert Barnett  
Williams & Connolly LLP  
725 Twelfth Street, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20005  
Tel: 202-434-5034 | Fax: 202-434-5029  
rbarnett@wc.com